



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/640,989 | 08/14/2003 | Lijun Yang | 5853-261 | 9213 |
| 7590 06/17/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Stanley A. Kim, Ph.D., Esq. | | | AFREMOVA, VERA | |
| Akermann Senterfitt Suite 400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 222 Lakeview Avenue | | | 1651 | |
| West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188 | | | DATE MAILED: 06/17/200 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/640,989 | YANG, LIJUN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Vera Afremova | 1651 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thind eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and | I/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | minor | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.30(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docun | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docun | nents have been received in A | pplication No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | • | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bu | , | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | i list of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview S | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 | Paper No(s | s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 3/08) 5) | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | ce Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 062005 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/640,989

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are pending and subject to restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim(s) 1, 8, 9, 12 and 13, drawn to a human insulin-producing cell isolated from human bone marrow, classified in class 435, subclass 368, for example.
- II. Claims 2-7, 10, 11, 14 and 15, drawn to a cell that expresses glucagon, insulin, mRNAs encoding insulin, Pdx-1 and NeuroD and that is obtained from human bone marrow by culturing, classified in class 435, subclass 372, for example.
- III. Claims 16-19, drawn to a host animal with introduced insulin producing cell(s), classified in class 800, subclass 8, for example.
- IV. Claims 20-23, drawn to a method of isolating and culturing cell that expresses glucagon, insulin, mRNAs encoding insulin, Pdx-1 and NeuroD and that is obtained from human bone marrow, classified in class 435, subclass 377, for example.
- V. Claim(s) 24, drawn to a method of reducing hyperglycemia by transplanting pancreatic marker expressing cells differentiated from human bone marrow, classified in class 424, subclass 93.7, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The products I-III are distinct from one another as claimed because they are drawn to cells (I and II) and animals (III) and because the claimed cell products encompass different limitations and/or require different characteristics as claimed.

The processes IV and V are distinct from one another as claimed because they recite different steps drawn to culturing cells and to transplanting cells and, thereby, leading to different results and/or effects.

Inventions I/II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case hyperglycemia can be treated with pure insulin.

Inventions I/II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case insulin-producing cells can be cultured after isolation from pancreas.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/640,989 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached at (571) 272-0926.

The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova

AU 1651

June 15, 2005

VERA AFREMOVA

V Harmon

PRIMARY EXAMINER